Proof sketch

1. If *L* is finite, then it is FO-definable

2. If *L* is co-finite, then it is FO-definable.





Proof sketch

- 3. If *L* is FO-definable (over a one-letter alphabet), then it is finite or co-finite.
 - 1) We define a new logic QF (quantifier-free fragment)
 - 2) We show that a language is QF-definable iff it is finite or co-finite
 - 3) We show that a language is QF-definable iff it FOdefinable.





1) The logic QF

•
$$x < k$$
 $x > k$
 $x < y + k$ $x > y + k$
 $k < last$ $k > last$

are formulas for every variable x, y and every $k \ge 0$.

• If f_1 , f_2 are formulas, then so are $f_1 \vee f_2$ and $f_1 \wedge f_2$



2) L is QF-definable iff it is finite or co-finite

(\rightarrow) Let f be a sentence of QF.

Then f is an and-or combination of formulas k < last and k > last.

 $L(k < last) = \{k + 1, k + 2, ...\}$ is co-finite (we identify words and numbers)

 $L(k > last) = \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ is finite

 $L(f_1 \lor f_2) = L(f_1) \cup L(f_2)$ and so if L(f) and L(g) finite or co-finite the L is finite or co-finite.

 $L(f_1 \wedge f_2) = L(f_1) \cap L(f_2)$ and so if L(f) and L(g) finite or co-finite the *L* is finite or co-finite.





2) L is QF-definable iff it is finite or co-finite

$$(\leftarrow) \text{ If } L = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \text{ is finite, then} \\ (k_1 - 1 < last \land last < k_1 + 1) \lor \cdots \lor \\ (k_n - 1 < last \land last < k_n + 1) \\ \text{expresses } L.$$

If *L* is co-finite, then its complement is finite, and so expressed by some formula. We show that for every *f* some formula neg(f) expresses $\overline{L(f)}$

•
$$neg(k < last) = (k - 1 < last \land last < k + 1)$$

 $\lor last < k$

- $neg(f_1 \lor f_2) = neg(f_1) \land neg(f_2)$
- $neg(f_1 \wedge f_2) = neg(f_1) \vee neg(f_2)$



3) Every first-order formula φ has an equivalent QF-formula $QF(\varphi)$

•
$$QF(x < y) = x < y + 0$$

- $QF(\neg \varphi) = neg(QF(\varphi))$
- $QF(\varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2) = QF(\varphi_1) \lor QF(\varphi_2)$
- $QF(\varphi_1 \land \varphi_2) = QF(\varphi_1) \land QF(\varphi_2)$
- $QF(\exists x \ \varphi) = QF(\exists x \ QF(\varphi))$
 - If $QF(\varphi)$ disjunction, apply $\exists x (\varphi_1 \lor ... \lor \varphi_n) = \exists x \varphi_1 \lor ... \lor \exists x \varphi_n$
 - If $QF(\varphi)$ conjunction (or atomic formula), see example in the next slide.



- Consider the formula $\exists x \quad x < y + 3 \quad \land$ $z < x + 4 \quad \land$ $z < y + 2 \quad \land$ y < x + 1
- The equivalent QF-formula is
 z < y + 8 ^ y < y + 5 ^ z < y + 2



Monadic second-order logic

- First-order variables: interpreted on positions
- Monadic second-order variables: interpreted on sets of positions.
 - Diadic second-order variables: interpreted on relations over positions
 - Monadic third-order variables: interpreted on sets of sets of positions
 - New atomic formulas: $x \in X$





Expressing "even length"

• Express

There is a set X of positions such that

- X contains exactly the even positions, and
- the last position belongs to X.
- Express

X contains exactly the even positions

as

A position is in X iff it is second position or the second successor of another position of X



Syntax and semantics of MSO

- New set {*X*, *Y*, *Z*, ... } of second-order variables
- New syntax: $x \in X$ and $\exists x \varphi$
- New semantics:
 - Interpretations now also assign sets of positions to the free second-order variables.
 - Satisfaction defined as expected.





Expressing $c^*(ab)^*d^*$

• Express:

There is a block X of consecutive positions such that

- before X there are only c's;
- after X there are only b's;
- *a*'s and *b*'s alternate in *X*;
- the first letter in X is an a_i , and the last is a b.
- Then we can take the formula $\exists X (Cons(X) \land Boc(X) \land Aod(X) \land Alt(X) \land Fa(X) \land Lb(X))$



• X is a block of consecutive positions

• Before X there are only c's

• In X a's and b's alternate





Every regular language is expressible in MSO logic

- Goal: given an arbitrary regular language L, construct an MSO sentence φ such having L = L(φ).
- We use: if *L* is regular, then there is a DFA *A* recognizing *L*.
- Idea: construct a formula expressing the run of A on this word is accepting



- Fix a regular language *L*.
- Fix a DFA A with states q_0, \ldots, q_n recognizing L.
- Fix a word $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$.
- Let P_q be the set of positions *i* such that after reading $a_1a_2 \dots a_i$ the automaton *A* is in state *q*.
- We have:

A accepts w iff $m \in P_q$ for some final state q.



