

Fundamentals of optimization problems

Dmitriy Serdyuk

Ferienakademie in Sarntal 2012
FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, TU München, Uni Stuttgart

September 2012

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

What is optimization problem

Definition

Optimization problem \mathcal{P} : $(I_{\mathcal{P}}, SOL_{\mathcal{P}}, m_{\mathcal{P}}, goal_{\mathcal{P}})$ where

- 1 $I_{\mathcal{P}}$ – set of instances of the problem \mathcal{P}
- 2 $SOL_{\mathcal{P}}$ – is a function that associates to any input instance $x \in I_{\mathcal{P}}$ the set of feasible solutions of x
- 3 $m_{\mathcal{P}}$ – is a measure function that for every pair (x, y) ($x \in I_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $y \in SOL_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$) associates positive integer which is the value of the feasible solution y
- 4 $goal_{\mathcal{P}} \in \{MIN, MAX\}$ – specifies whether \mathcal{P} is a maximization or a minimization problem

- $SOL_{\mathcal{P}}^*$ – set of optimal solutions of x
- $m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y^*) = goal_{\mathcal{P}}\{v \mid v = m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, z) \wedge z \in SOL_{\mathcal{P}}(x)\}$
- The value of any optimal solution y^* of x will be denoted as $m_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x)$

Example: Minimum Vertex Cover

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, the Minimum Vertex Cover problem is to find a vertex cover of minimum size. Formally:

- $I = \{G = (V, E) \mid G \text{ is a graph}\}$
- $SOL(G) = \{U \subseteq V \mid \forall (v_i, v_j) \in E : v_i \in U \vee v_j \in U\}$
- $m(G, U) = |U|$
- goal = MIN

Definition

- *Constructive problem* \mathcal{P}_C – given an instance $x \in I_{\mathcal{P}}$, derive an optimal solution $y^* \in \text{SOL}_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ and its measure $m_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x)$
- *Evaluation problem* \mathcal{P}_E – given an instance $x \in I_{\mathcal{P}}$, derive its optimal measure $m_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x)$
- *Decision problem* \mathcal{P}_D – given an instance $x \in I_{\mathcal{P}}$ and a positive integer value K , derive whether $m_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x) \geq K$ if *goal* = MAX or $m_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x) \leq K$ if *goal* = MIN

Underlying language of \mathcal{P} is

- 1 $\{(x, K) \mid x \in I \wedge m^*(x) \geq K\}$ if *goal* = MAX
- 2 $\{(x, K) \mid x \in I \wedge m^*(x) \leq K\}$ if *goal* = MIN

Minimum Vertex Cover

- Instance: Graph $G = (V, E)$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$
- Question: derive whether exists vertex cover on G of size $\leq K$

Minimum Traveling Salesperson(TSP)

- Instance: Set of cities $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$, $n \times n$ matrix D of distances
- Solutions: permutations $\{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_n}\}$
- Measure: $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D(i_k, i_{k+1}) + D(i_n, i_1)$

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Example: Minimum Path

Find minimum path between two nodes in the graph G

- Instance: Graph $G = (V, E)$, two nodes $v_s, v_d \in V$
- Solution: A path $(v_s = v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k} = v_d)$
- Measure: k

Can be solved by breadth first search

Definition

Problem $\mathcal{P} = (I, SOL, m, goal)$ belongs to the class NPO if:

- 1 I is recognizable in polynomial time
- 2 there exists a polynomial q such that, given an instance $x \in I$, for any y , $|y| < q(|x|)$, it is decidable in polynomial time whether $y \in SOL(x)$
- 3 the measure function m is computable in polynomial time

Minimum Vertex Cover belongs to NPO since:

- 1 any graph is recognizable in polynomial time
- 2 size of any feasible solution y is smaller than number of vertexes, testing whether a subset $U \subseteq V$ requires testing whether any edge in E is incident to at least one node in U
- 3 the size of U is clearly computable in polynomial time

Theorem

For any optimization problem \mathcal{P} in NPO, the corresponding decision problem \mathcal{P}_D belongs to NP

Proof.

Obviously: solution y should be guessed

Definition

Optimization problem \mathcal{P} belongs to the class PO if it is in NPO and there exists a polynomial-time computable algorithm \mathcal{A} that for any instance $x \in I$, returns an optimal solution $y \in SOL^*(x)$ together with its value $m^*(x)$

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- **NP-hard optimization problems**

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Definition

An optimization problem \mathcal{P} is called NP-hard, if for every decision problem $\mathcal{P}' \in NP$, $\mathcal{P}' \leq_T \mathcal{P}$, \mathcal{P}' can be solved in polynomial time by an algorithm which uses an oracle that, for any instance $x \in I_{\mathcal{P}}$, returns an optimal solution y^* of x and its value $m_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x)$

When problem is NP-hard?

Theorem

Let a problem $\mathcal{P} \in NPO$ be given; if underlying language of \mathcal{P} is NP-complete then \mathcal{P} is NP-hard

Proof.

Clearly the solution of the decision problem could be obtained for free if an oracle would give us the solution of the constructive optimization problem □

Corollary

If $NP \neq P$ then $PO \neq NPO$

Relations between decision, evaluation and constructive problems

Theorem

For any problem $\mathcal{P} \in \text{NPO}$, $\mathcal{P}_D \equiv_T \mathcal{P}_E \leq_T \mathcal{P}_C$

Proof.

It is clearly that $\mathcal{P}_D \leq_T \mathcal{P}_E \leq_T \mathcal{P}_C$.

The range of possible values of $m(x, y)$ is bounded by $M = 2^{p(|x|)}$ for some polynomial p . Hence, by applying binary search the evaluation problem could be solved by at most $\log(M) = p(|x|)$ queries to the oracle \mathcal{P}_D □

Example

Maximum Clique

- Instance: Graph $G = (V, E)$

- Solution: A clique in G

$$U \subseteq V \quad \forall (v_i, v_j) \in U \times U : (v_i, v_j) \in E \vee v_i = v_j$$

MaximumClique could be solved using an oracle that can solve evaluation problem $MaximumClique_E$

$MaximumClique(G)$:

- 1 Compute k – size of the maximum clique in the graph G
- 2 if $k = 1$ return any node
- 3 find node v for which $MaximumClique_E(G(v)) = k$
- 4 return $\{v\} \cup MaximumClique(G^-(v))$

Where $G(v)$ – a subgraph induced by v and its neighbors, $G^-(v)$ – a subgraph induced by neighbors of v



Theorem

If problem $\mathcal{P} \in \text{NPO}$ and \mathcal{P}_D is NP-complete, then $\mathcal{P}_C \leq_T \mathcal{P}_D$

Proof.

Let us assume, that \mathcal{P} is a maximization problem.

Problem \mathcal{P}' has the same definition except for the measure function $m_{\mathcal{P}'}$, which is defined as follows. Let p a polynomial, which bounds the length of the solutions of \mathcal{P} . Let $\lambda(y)$ denote the rank of y in the lexicographical order. Then we denote the measure function $m_{\mathcal{P}'}(x, y) = 2^{p(|x|)+1} m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) + \lambda(y)$.

Proof.

- 1 For all $y_1, y_2 \in SOL_{\mathcal{P}'}(x)$ measure functions are different $m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y_1) \neq m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y_2)$. Therefore exists only unique optimal solution of the problem \mathcal{P}' $y_{\mathcal{P}'}^* \in SOL_{\mathcal{P}'}^*(x)$.
- 2 If $m_{\mathcal{P}'}(x, y_1) > m_{\mathcal{P}'}(x, y_2)$ then $m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y_1) \geq m_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y_2)$. Therefore, $y_{\mathcal{P}'}^* \in SOL_{\mathcal{P}}^*(x)$

Optimal solution could be derived by computing remainder of the division $m_{(\mathcal{P}')}^*(x)$ by $2^{p(|x|)+1}$.

\mathcal{P}_D is NP-complete and it can be used to solve \mathcal{P}'_D . □

- 1 Introduction
 - Optimization problems
 - PO and NPO problems
 - NP-hard optimization problems
- 2 **Approximate solutions**
 - **Definitions**
 - Absolute approximation
 - Relative approximation
 - Limits to approximability: The gap technique
- 3 Polynomial time approximation schemes
 - Definitions
 - APX versus PTAS
- 4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes
 - Definitions
 - Negative results for the class FPTAS

Definition

Given an optimization problem $\mathcal{P} = (I, SOL, m, goal)$, an algorithm \mathcal{A} is an *approximation* algorithm for \mathcal{P} if for any given instance $x \in I$ it returns an approximate solution, that is a feasible solution $A(x) \in SOL(x)$

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- **Absolute approximation**
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Definition

Given an optimization problem $\mathcal{P} = (I, SOL, m, goal)$, for any instance x and for any feasible solution y of x , the absolute error of y with respect to x is defined as

$$D(x, y) = |m^*(x) - m(x, y)|$$

Definition

Given an optimization problem $\mathcal{P} = (I, SOL, m, goal)$ and an approximation algorithm \mathcal{A} for \mathcal{P} we say that \mathcal{A} is an absolute approximation algorithm if there exists a constant k such that, for every instance x of \mathcal{P} , $D(x, \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq k$

Problem, that not allow absolute approximation algorithm, unless $P = NP$

Theorem

Unless $P = NP$, no polynomial-time absolute approximation algorithm exists for Maximum Knapsack

Proof.

Let X be a set of n items with profits p_1, \dots, p_n and weights a_1, \dots, a_n , and let b be the knapsack capacity. If the problem would allow approximation algorithm with absolute error k , then consider another instance with profits multiplied by $k + 1$. The set of the feasible solutions is the same. The only solution with absolute error bounded by k can be found. Hence we can solve exactly original problem. \square

- 1 Introduction
 - Optimization problems
 - PO and NPO problems
 - NP-hard optimization problems
- 2 **Approximate solutions**
 - Definitions
 - Absolute approximation
 - **Relative approximation**
 - Limits to approximability: The gap technique
- 3 Polynomial time approximation schemes
 - Definitions
 - APX versus PTAS
- 4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes
 - Definitions
 - Negative results for the class FPTAS

Definition

Given an optimization problem \mathcal{P} , for any instance x and for any feasible solution y of x , the *relative error* defined as

$$E(x, y) = \frac{|m^*(x) - m(x, y)|}{\max\{m^*(x), m(x, y)\}}$$

Definition

Given an optimization problem \mathcal{P} and an approximation algorithm \mathcal{A} , we say that \mathcal{A} is ϵ -approximation algorithm if the relative approximation error provided by \mathcal{A}

$$E(x, y) \leq \epsilon$$

Definition

Given an optimization problem \mathcal{P} , for any instance x and for any feasible solution y of x , the *performance ratio* defined as

$$R(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{m^*(x)}{m(x, y)}, \frac{m(x, y)}{m^*(x)} \right\}$$

Definition

Given an optimization problem \mathcal{P} and an approximation algorithm \mathcal{A} , we say that \mathcal{A} is r -approximation algorithm if the performance ratio provided by \mathcal{A}

$$R(x, y) \leq r$$

Definition

APX is the class of NPO problems such that for some $r \geq 1$ there exists a polynomial-time r -approximate algorithm.

Problem that not belongs to APX, unless $P = NP$

Theorem

If Minimum Traveling Salesperson problem belongs to APX, then $P = NP$

Proof.

Let us consider that exists polynomial-time r -approximate algorithm for MinTSP. For every instance of the Hamiltonian Circle decision problem we can construct the following Traveling Salesperson problem.

Problem that not belongs to APX, unless $P = NP$ (2)

Proof.

Let distances on the same graph $G = (V, E)$

$$d(v_i, v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (v_i, v_j) \in E \\ 1 + nr & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Corollary

If $P \neq NP$, then $APX \subset NPO$

- 1 Introduction
 - Optimization problems
 - PO and NPO problems
 - NP-hard optimization problems
- 2 **Approximate solutions**
 - Definitions
 - Absolute approximation
 - Relative approximation
 - **Limits to approximability: The gap technique**
- 3 Polynomial time approximation schemes
 - Definitions
 - APX versus PTAS
- 4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes
 - Definitions
 - Negative results for the class FPTAS

Theorem

Let \mathcal{P}' be an NP-complete decision problem and let \mathcal{P} be an NPO minimization problem. Let us suppose, that there exist two polynomial-time computable functions $f : I_{\mathcal{P}'} \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{P}}$, $c : I_{\mathcal{P}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $\text{gap} > 0$, such that for any instance x

$$m^*(f(x)) = \begin{cases} c(x) & \text{if } x \text{ is a positive instance,} \\ c(x)(1 + \text{gap}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then no polynomial-time r -approximate algorithm for \mathcal{P} with $r < 1 + \text{gap}$ can exist, unless $P = NP$

The gap technique(2)

Proof.

We can use approximation algorithm of \mathcal{P} for solving \mathcal{P}' in the following way. Let us apply approximation algorithm \mathcal{A} to $f(x)$

- 1 if x is negative instance, $m^*(f(x)) \geq c(x)(1 + \text{gap})$ and $m(f(x), \mathcal{A}) \geq c(x)(1 + \text{gap})$
- 2 if x is positive instance, we have that

$$\frac{m(f(x), \mathcal{A})}{m^*(f(x))} \leq r < 1 + \text{gap}$$

$m^*(f(x)) = c(x)$ hence $m(f(x), \mathcal{A}) < c(x)(1 + \text{gap})$



1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- **Definitions**
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Definition

Let \mathcal{P} be an NPO problem. An algorithm \mathcal{A} is said to be *polynomial time approximation scheme (PTAS)* if, for any instance x and for any rational number $r > 1$, \mathcal{A} applied to (x, r) returns an r -approximate solution of x in time polynomial in $|x|$.

The running time of a PTAS may also depend on $1/(r - 1)$

Definition

PTAS is the class of NPO problems that admit polynomial time approximation scheme

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- **APX versus PTAS**

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Theorem

If $P \neq NP$, then Minimum Bin Packing does not belong to the class PTAS

Corollary

If $P \neq NP$, then $PTAS \subset APX$

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Definition

Let \mathcal{P} be an NPO problem. An algorithm \mathcal{A} is said to be *fully polynomial time approximation scheme (FPTAS)* if, for any instance x and for any rational number $r > 1$, \mathcal{A} applied to (x, r) returns an r -approximate solution of x in time polynomial both in $|x|$ and $1/(r - 1)$.

Definition

FPTAS is the class of NPO problems that admit fully polynomial time approximation scheme

1 Introduction

- Optimization problems
- PO and NPO problems
- NP-hard optimization problems

2 Approximate solutions

- Definitions
- Absolute approximation
- Relative approximation
- Limits to approximability: The gap technique

3 Polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- APX versus PTAS

4 Fully polynomial time approximation schemes

- Definitions
- Negative results for the class FPTAS

Many problems not belong to class FPTAS, unless $P = NP$

Definition

An optimization problem is *polynomially* bounded if there exists a polynomial p such that for any instance x and for any $y \in SOL(x)$,
 $m(x, y) \leq p(|x|)$

Theorem

No NP-hard polynomially bounded optimization problem belongs to the class FPTAS, unless $P = NP$

Many problems not belong to class FPTAS, unless $P = NP(2)$

Proof.

Suppose we have a FPTAS \mathcal{A} for the problem \mathcal{P} which, for any instance x and for any rational $r > 1$, runs in time bounded $q(|x|, 1/(r-1))$. Since \mathcal{P} is polynomially bounded there exists polynomial p such that $m^*(x) \leq p(|x|)$. If we choose $r = 1 + 1/p(|x|)$, then \mathcal{A} provides an optimal solution.

$$m(x, \mathcal{A}(x, r)) \geq m^*(x) \frac{p(|x|)}{p(|x|) + 1} > m^*(x) - 1$$



Many problems not belong to class FPTAS, unless $P = NP(3)$

Corollary

if $P \neq NP$, then $FPTAS \subset PTAS$

Proof.

Maximum Independent Set restricted to planar graphs belongs to PTAS. On the other side the problem is clearly polynomially bounded. □

- We defined optimization problems and considered some examples
- We defined different types of approximation algorithms for optimization problems. We denoted classes of optimization problems: APX, PTAS, FPTAS.